CHAPTER TWO

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REQUIREMENTS MONITORING AND COMPLIANCE REVIEW

General Instructions to Monitoring Staff:

Most of the tasks involved in monitoring the grantee's compliance with Environmental Review (ER) requirements will take place "in-house" at the beginning of the grant term, through review of submissions forwarded by the grantee. Any issues and concerns identified during this in-house review of the grantee's compliance with the ER requirements should be noted on the <u>Environmental Review Requirements – Summary Page for Monitoring and Compliance Review</u> found at the end of this chapter.

In addition, when the DHCD staff goes on-site as part of its other monitoring activities, the Lead Reviewer (or another designated DHCD staff member) must ensure that the grantee is continuing to maintain its Environmental Review records. At that time, if there has been a change in the scope of the project, the Lead Reviewer (or designated DHCD staff) must confirm that any necessary Environmental Review steps have been taken. (For specific instructions and questions for this on-site review, see Chapter Three, General Project Management and Record-keeping.)

A. GENERAL INFORMATION	Date(s) of In-house Review:
Grantee/Project Name:	Program Year:
Grant #:	Grant Term:

Additional Instructions to Monitoring Staff:

- 1. In assessing Environmental Review compliance, DHCD staff must first determine if the activity or project to be undertaken is "exempt", "categorically excluded", or "requires full assessment." Exempt activities, which are identified in 24 CFR 58.34, include such activities as planning studies, engineering or design, administrative costs, technical assistance, and public services affecting only the social or economic environment, where no facilities, construction or public improvements are involved. Categorically excluded activities are those identified in 24 CFR 58.35, which do not involve new construction, do not change land use, and do not increase building density by more than 20 percent. Full assessment activities are those that are neither exempt nor categorically excluded.
- 2. Depending on the ER category of the proposed project or activity (that is, whether it is "exempt", "categorically excluded", or "requires full assessment"), the grantee must follow a series of specified action steps in order for the Maryland DHCD staff to issue a Release of Funds (ROF) and for the project to proceed. These action steps are outlined in the checklist which follows in this chapter. In assessing ER compliance, DHCD staff must determine that the grantee followed all applicable steps for the category of activity being undertaken. (NOTE: For more details on these action steps, see Chapter 5 of Keys to Success: Guidebook for the Maryland CDBG Program.)

B. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REQUIREMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW CHECKLIST		Categori- cally	Full Assess- ment	
(See 24 CFR 58; last revised on 4/30/96, 3/30/98; see also Section 4b and Exhibits D and E of the Grant Agreement)	Exempt Activities	Excluded Activities	Activities	Comments:
Citation: 24 CFR 58.18(a)(1)(i): Requires that states develop a monitoring and enforcement program for post review actions on environmental reviews and monitor compliance with other environmental review conditions of the grant.	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	
Knowledge of requirements: Does the grantee demonstrate sufficient knowledge of applicable environmental requirements?				
Certifying Officer: Does the grantee have a designated environmental certifying officer?	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	
Citation: 24 CFR 58.38: Grantee must maintain a written Environmental Review record.		Yes No	Yes No	
3. ERR: Did the grantee submit an Environmental Review Record (ERR) containing appropriate findings, agency and public comments, copies of notices, etc.?				
4. Notification of Other Agencies: Did the grantee send to MHT and (for non-Exempt Activities) to Dept. of Natural Resources (DNR), and Maryland Dept. of Environment a project description, environmental screening letter, map of site location, and contact information?	Yes No	Yes No	Yes No	
Citation: 36 CFR 800 (latest revision 5/18/99): Requires grantees to consult with State Historic Preservation Officer to determine whether any properties to be assisted could be declared historic or in a historic district.				
5. Statutory Checklist: Did the grantee complete the Statutory Checklist indicating areas of statutory/regulatory compliance?		Yes No	Yes No	

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (See 24 CFR 58; last revised on 4/30/96, 3/30/98; see also Section 4b and Exhibits D and E of the Grant Agreement)	Exempt Activities	Categori cally Excluded Activities	Asse me	ull ess- ent vities	Comments:
6. Environmental Assessment : Did the grantee complete an Environmental Assessment Checklist? Date:			Yes	No	
7. Narratives: Did the grantee complete narratives as applicable?			Yes	No	
8. NOI/RROF: Did the grantee publish a "Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds" (NOI/RROF) in newspaper? Date of NOI/RROF:		Yes No	Yes	No	
Citation: 24 CFR 58.43: If grantee makes a FONSI, it must minimally send the notice to individuals or groups know to be interested in the activities, as well as local media and the appropriate State and Federal entities.			Yes	No	
9. Publication of FONSI: Was a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) published in a newspaper or posted? Date of Publication of FONSI:					
10. Distribution of Public Notice: Did grantee send to the State Clearinghouse, DNR and MHT a copy of public notice w/ transmittal letter?		Yes No	Yes	No	

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW CHECKLIST (See 24 CFR 58; last revised on 4/30/96, 3/30/98; see also		empt vities	ca Excl	egori- ally uded vities	Fu Asse me	ess- nt	Comments:
Section 4b and Exhibits D and E of the Grant Agreement) Citation:24 CFR 58.71: Grantees must send RROF and Certification to HUD or State Certifying Officer for execution.	Yes	No	Yes		Yes		
11. Request for Release of Funds (RROF): For Exempt Activities: Did grantee send to the State CDBG Environmental Officer a Certificate of Exempt Activity, Request for Release of Funds (RROF), and copy of MHT Project Notification Letter? For All Other Activities: Did grantee send to the CDBG Environmental Officer the RROF and ERR following publication of the NOI/RROF? Date of RROF:							
12. ROF: Did the CDBG Program approve the RROF? Date of ROF: Note: If any issues arose in approving the RROF, please note either in Comments column of this checklist or on the Environmental Review Requirement – Summary Page fir Monitoring and Compliance Review that follows.	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY PAGE FOR MONITORING AND COMPLIANCE REVIEW

Instructions to Monitoring Staff:

Please describe any issues arising from the questions in the preceding checklist. Describe any issues warranting further review and/or discussion with grantee's staff. For any issue identified during the review, provide amplification, as necessary, and specify corrective actions the grantee must take to resolve issue(s). Describe the nature of any technical assistance provided during the review. List any follow-up action for the Maryland DHCD staff and/or the grantee, along with dates by which such actions must be taken.

Local Staff Interviewed: Name:	Title:	Location:	Date(s) of Interview:	Telephone #/e-mail:
Issues/Concerns/Findings (ar	nd Relevant Citations):	Necess	sary Action Steps and/or Resolution	n (and Deadlines):
Based on the evidence reviewe	d, has the grantee complied with a	appropriate environmental require	ements? Yes No	
Maryland DHCD Staff Conduc	ting Review:			
Date Review Completed:				